arXiv:1612.06563v1 [math.NT] 20 Dec 2016

WEIGHTED SUM FORMULAS OF MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES WITH EVEN ARGUMENTS

ZHONGHUA LI AND CHEN QIN

Abstract. We obtain a weighted sum formula of the zeta values at even arguments, and a weighted sum formula of the multiple zeta values with even arguments and its zeta-star analogue. The weight coefficients are given by (symmetric) polynomials of the arguments. These weighted sum formulas for the zeta values and for the multiple zeta values were conjectured by L. Guo, P. Lei and J. Zhao.

1. Introduction

For a positive integer n and a sequence $\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\dots,k_n)$ of positive integers with $k_1>1$, the multiple zeta value $\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ and the multiple zeta-star value $\zeta^\star(\mathbf{k})$ are defined by the following infinite series

$$\zeta(\mathbf{k})=\zeta(k_1,\dots,k_n)=\sum_{m_1>\cdots>m_n\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{m_1^{k_1}\cdots m_n^{k_n}}$$

and

$$\zeta^\star(\mathbf{k})=\zeta^\star(k_1,\dots,k_n)=\sum_{m_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant m_n\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{m_1^{k_1}\cdots m_n^{k_n}},$$

respectively. The number n is called the depth. In depth one case, both $\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ and $\zeta^\star(\mathbf{k})$ are special values of the Riemann zeta function at positive integer arguments.

The study of these values may be traced back to L. Euler. Among other things, L. Euler found the following sum formula

$$\sum_{i=2}^{k-1}\zeta^\star(i,k-i)=(k-1)\zeta(k),\quad k\geqslant 3,$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{k-1}\zeta(i,k-i)=\zeta(k),\quad k\geqslant 3.$$

There are many generalizations and variations of the sum formula, among which we mention some weighted sum formulas at even arguments. In [2], the following

Date: December 21, 2016 .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M32,11B68.

Key words and phrases. Multiple zeta values, Multiple zeta-star values, Bernoulli numbers, Weighted sum formulas.

The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11471245) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (grant no. 14ZR1443500).

formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\zeta(2i,2k-2i)=\frac{3}{4}\zeta(2k)$$

was proved by using the regularized double shuffle relations of the double zeta values. M. E. Hoffman considered the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}\zeta(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n)$$

in [6], and we showed in [8] that the formulas given by M. E. Hoffman in [6] are consequences of the regularized double shuffle relations of the multiple zeta values. Later in [3], new families of weighted sum formulas of the forms

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}F(k_1,k_2)\zeta(2k_1)\zeta(2k_2),\qquad \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}G(k_1,k_2,k_3)\zeta(2k_1)\zeta(2k_2)\zeta(2k_3)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}F(k_1,k_2)\zeta(2k_1,2k_2),\qquad \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}G(k_1,k_2,k_3)\zeta(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3)$$

were given, where $F(x,y)$ and $G(x,y,z)$ are (symmetric) polynomials with rational coefficients. And conjectured formulas about the weighted sums

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(2k_1)\cdots\zeta(2k_n) \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n) \tag{1.2}$$

were proposed in [3, Conjecture 4.7].

The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of [3, Conjecture 4.7]. As in [3], we first establish a weighted sum formula of the Bernoulli numbers. In [3], L. Guo, P. Lei and J. Zhao used certain zeta functions to study the Bernoulli numbers. Here we just use the generating function of the Bernoulli numbers. Hence our method seems more elementary. After getting the weighted sum formulas of the Bernoulli numbers, we obtain a formula for the weighted sum (1.1) by using Euler's evaluation formula of the zeta values at even arguments. Finally, applying the symmetric sum formulas of M. E. Hoffman [4], we obtain formulas of the weighted sum (1.2) and its zeta-star analogue from the formula of the weighted sum (1.1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we deal with the weighted sum of the Bernoulli numbers. In Section 3, we obtain the formula of the weighted sum (1.1). And in Section 4, we study the weighted sum (1.2) and its zeta-star analogue. Finally, in Section 5, we show that the weighted sum formulas obtained in this paper can be deduced from the regularized double shuffle relations of the multiple zeta values.

2. A weighted sum formula of Bernoulli numbers

The Bernoulli numbers $\{B_i\}$ are defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_i}{i!} t^i = \frac{t}{e^t - 1}.$$

It is known that $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $B_i = 0$ for odd $i \geqslant 3$. We set

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!} t^{2i},$$

$$g(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} + \frac{1}{2}t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!} t^{2i}.$$

We compute the derivatives of the even function $f(t)$. Let $D = t\frac{d}{dt}$ and $h(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1}$. Then using the formula

$$h'(t) = \frac{1 - t}{e^t - 1} - \frac{t}{(e^t - 1)^2},$$

we find that for any nonnegative integer m,

$$D^m f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} f_{mi}(t) h(t)^i. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $f_{mi}(t)$ are polynomials determined by $f_{00}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t - 1$, $f_{01}(t) = 1$ and the recursive formulas

$$\begin{cases} f_{m0}(t) = t f'_{m-1,0}(t) & \text{for } m \geqslant 1, \\ f_{m,m+1}(t) = -m f_{m-1,m}(t) & \text{for } m \geqslant 1, \\ f_{mi}(t) = t f'_{m-1,i}(t) + i(1-t) f_{m-1,i}(t) - (i-1) f_{m-1,i-1}(t) & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, for any integers m, i with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m+1$, we have $f_{mi}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. From (2.2), it is easy to see that for any nonnegative integer m, we have

$$f_{m0}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t - \delta_{m,0}, \quad f_{m,m+1}(t) = (-1)^m m!.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any integers m, i with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m+1$, we have $\deg f_{mi}(t) = m + 1 - i$, and the leading coefficient c_{mi} of $f_{mi}(t)$ satisfies the condition $(-1)^m c_{mi} > 0$.*

Proof. We use induction on m. Assume that $m \geqslant 1$. The result for $i = m+1$ follows from $f_{m,m+1}(t) = (-1)^m m!$. Now assume the integer i satisfies the condition $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, and

$$f_{m-1,i}(t) = c_{m-1,i} t^{m-i} + \text{lower degree terms}$$

with $(-1)^{m-1} c_{m-1,i} > 0$. Let $c_{m0} = \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have

$$f_{mi}(t) = (-i c_{m-1,i} - (i-1) c_{m-1,i-1}) t^{m+1-i} + \text{lower degree terms}.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^m (-i c_{m-1,i} - (i-1) c_{m-1,i-1}) \\ =&i(-1)^{m-1} c_{m-1,i} + (i-1)(-1)^{m-1} c_{m-1,i-1} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get the result. □

Therefore we have

$$f_{m0}(t) = c_{m0}t - \delta_{m,0}$$

with $c_{m0} = \frac{1}{2}$, and for any integers m, i with the condition $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m+1$, we have

$$f_{mi}(t) = c_{mi}t^{m+1-i} + \text{lower degree terms},$$

with the recursive formula

$$c_{mi} = -ic_{m-1,i} - (i-1)c_{m-1,i-1}, \quad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant m)$$

and $c_{m,m+1} = (-1)^m m!$.

Corollary 2.2. *For any nonnegative integer m, we have $c_{m1} = (-1)^m$.*

For later use, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For any nonnegative integer m, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1}f_{mi}(t)t^{i-1} = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1}c_{mi} = \delta_{m,0}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on m to prove (2.3). The case of $m = 0$ follows from the fact $f_{01}(t) = 1$. Now assume that $m \geqslant 1$, using the recursive formula (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1}f_{mi}t^{i-1} =& \sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}f'_{m-1,i}(t)t^i + \sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}if_{m-1,i}(t)t^{i-1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^i if_{m-1,i}(t)t^i + \sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^i(i-1)f_{m-1,i-1}(t)t^{i-1} + m!t^m \\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}(f_{m-1,i}(t)t^i)' + (-1)^m m f_{m-1,m}(t)t^m + m!t^m \\
=& \frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1}f_{m-1,i}(t)t^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get (2.3) from the induction assumption. Finally, comparing the coefficients of t^m of both sides of (2.3), we get (2.4). □

Now we want to express $h(t)^i$ by $D^m g(t)$. For this purpose, we use matrix computations. For any nonnegative integer m, let $A_m(t)$ be a $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ matrix defined by

$$A_m(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{01}(t) & & & \\ f_{11}(t) & f_{12}(t) & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ f_{m1}(t) & f_{m2}(t) & \cdots & f_{m,m+1}(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that for $m \geqslant 1$, we have

$$A_m(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m-1}(t) & 0 \\ \alpha_m(t) & (-1)^m m! \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha_m = (f_{m1}(t), \ldots, f_{mm}(t))$. From linear algebra, we know that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible with

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ -B^{-1}CA^{-1} & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

provided that A and B are invertible square matrices. Therefore by induction on m, we find that for all nonnegative integer m, the matrices $A_m(t)$ are invertible, and satisfy the recursive formula

$$A_m(t)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m-1}(t)^{-1} & 0 \\ (-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{m!}\alpha_m(t)A_{m-1}(t)^{-1} & (-1)^m\frac{1}{m!} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (m \geqslant 1). \tag{2.5}$$

For any nonnegative integer m, set

$$A_m(t)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{01}(t) & & & \\ g_{11}(t) & g_{12}(t) & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ g_{m1}(t) & g_{m2}(t) & \cdots & g_{m,m+1}(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let m and i be integers.*

(1) *For any $m \geqslant 0$, we have $g_{m,m+1}(t) = (-1)^m\frac{1}{m!}$;*
(2) *If $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we have the recursive formula*

$$g_{mi}(t) = (-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=i}^{m} f_{mj}(t)g_{j-1,i}(t); \tag{2.6}$$

(3) *If $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m+1$, we have $g_{mi}(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ with $\deg g_{mi}(t) \leqslant m+1-i$;*
(4) *For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m+1$, set*

$$g_{mi}(t) = d_{mi}t^{m+1-i} + \text{lower degree terms}.$$

Then we have $d_{m,m+1} = (-1)^m\frac{1}{m!}$ and

$$d_{mi} = (-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=i}^{m} c_{mj}d_{j-1,i} \tag{2.7}$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

Proof. The assertions in items (1) and (2) follow from (2.5). To prove the item (3), we proceed by induction on m. For the case of $m = 0$, we get the result from $g_{01}(t) = 1$. Assume that $m \geqslant 1$, then $g_{m,m+1}(t) = (-1)^m\frac{1}{m!} \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ with degree zero. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant m$, using the induction assumption, we may set

$$g_{j-1,i}(t) = d_{j-1,i}t^{j-i} + \text{lower degree terms} \in \mathbb{Q}[t].$$

Since

$$f_{mj}(t) = c_{mj}t^{m+1-j} + \text{lower degree terms} \in \mathbb{Z}[t],$$

we get

$$f_{mj}(t)g_{j-1,i}(t) = c_{mj}d_{j-1,i}t^{m+1-i} + \text{lower degree terms} \in \mathbb{Q}[t].$$

Using (2.6), we finally get

$$g_{mi}(t) = \left((-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=i}^{m} c_{mj}d_{j-1,i}\right)t^{m+1-i} + \text{lower degree terms} \in \mathbb{Q}[t].$$

The item (4) follows from the above proof. □

Corollary 2.5. *For any nonnegative integer m, we have $d_{m1} = (-1)^m$.*

Proof. We use induction on m. If $m \geqslant 1$, using (2.7) and the induction assumption, we get

$$d_{m1} = (-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j-1}c_{mj}.$$

By (2.4), we have

$$d_{m1} = (-1)^{m+1}\frac{1}{m!}(\delta_{m,0} - (-1)^m c_{m,m+1}),$$

which deduces the result. □

To get $h(t)^i$, we rewrite (2.1) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} g(t) \\ Dg(t) \\ \vdots \\ D^m g(t) \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}t\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = A_m(t)\begin{pmatrix} h(t) \\ h(t)^2 \\ \vdots \\ h(t)^{m+1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

and rewrite (2.3) as

$$A_m(t)\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -t \\ t^2 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^m t^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(t) \\ h(t)^2 \\ \vdots \\ h(t)^{m+1} \end{pmatrix} = A_m(t)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} g(t) \\ Dg(t) \\ \vdots \\ D^m g(t) \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}t\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -t \\ t^2 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^m t^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for any positive integer i, we get

$$h(t)^i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} g_{i-1,j}(t)D^{j-1}g(t) + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^i t^i. \tag{2.9}$$

For the later use, we prepare a lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *For a nonnegative integer m, the functions $1, g(t), Dg(t), \ldots, D^m g(t)$ are linearly independent over the rational function field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.*

Proof. Let $p(t), p_0(t), p_1(t), \ldots, p_m(t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$ satisfy

$$p(t) + p_0(t)g(t) + P_1(t)Dg(t) + \cdots + p_m(t)D^m g(t) = 0.$$

Using (2.8), we get

$$p(t) + \frac{1}{2}t\sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(t) + (p_0(t), \ldots, p_m(t))A_m(t)\begin{pmatrix} h(t) \\ \vdots \\ h(t)^{m+1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Since e^t is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, we know e^t-1, and then $h(t)$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. Hence we have

$$p(t)+\frac{1}{2}t\sum_{j=0}^{m}p_j(t)=0, \qquad (p_0(t),\dots,p_m(t))A_m(t)=0,$$

which deduces that all $p_j(t)$ and $p(t)$ are zero functions as the matrix $A_m(t)$ is invertible. □

From now on let n be a fixed positive integer, and $m_1,\dots,m_n$ be fixed nonnegative integers. We want to compute $D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t)$. On the one hand, using (2.1), we have

$$D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}f_i(t)h(t)^i,$$

with

$$f_i(t)=\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_n=i\\0\leqslant i_j\leqslant m_j+1}}f_{m_1i_1}(t)\cdots f_{m_ni_n}(t).$$

Lemma 2.7. *We have*

$$f_0(t)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}t-\delta_{m_j,0}\right),$$

and $\deg f_i(t)\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-i$ *for any nonnegative integer* i.

Proof. For integers $i_1,\dots,i_n$ with the conditions $i_1+\cdots+i_n=i$ and $0\leqslant i_j\leqslant m_j+1$, we have

$$\deg(f_{m_1i_1}(t)\cdots f_{m_ni_n}(t))\leqslant\sum_{j=1}^{n}(m_j+1-i_j)=m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-i,$$

which deduces that $\deg f_i(t)\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-i$. □

Then using (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t)\\&=\sum_{i=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}f_i(t)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i}g_{i-1,j}(t)D^{j-1}g(t)+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^it^i\right)+f_0(t)\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}F_j(t)D^{j-1}g(t)+F_0(t)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$F_0(t)=f_0(t)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}(-1)^if_i(t)t^i$$

and

$$F_j(t)=\sum_{i=j}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}f_i(t)g_{i-1,j}(t),\quad(1\leqslant j\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let* j *be a nonnegative integer with* $j\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n$. *Then*

(1) *the function* $F_j(t)$ *is even;*

(2) *we have*

$$F_0(t)=\frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}t-\delta_{m_j,0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^n\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}t+\delta_{m_j,0}\right).$$

In particular, $\deg F_0(t)\leqslant n$*;*

(3) *if* $j>0$*, we have* $\deg F_j(t)\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-j$*. Moreover, we have* $\deg F_1(t)\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2$ *provided that* n *is even or* $m_1,\ldots,m_n$ *are not all zero.*

Proof. Since $D^m f(t)$ and $D^m g(t)$ are even, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}F_j(t)D^{j-1}g(t)+F_0(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}F_j(-t)D^{j-1}g(t)+F_0(-t).$$

Then by Lemma 2.6, we know all $F_j(t)$ are even functions.

By the definition of $f_i(t)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}(-1)^i f_i(t)t^i=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i_j=0}^{m_j+1}(-1)^{i_j}f_{m_j i_j}(t)t^{i_j}.$$

Using (2.3), we find

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}(-1)^i f_i(t)t^i=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(f_{m_j 0}(t)-t).$$

Then we get (2) from the fact that $f_{m0}(t)=\frac{1}{2}t-\delta_{m,0}$ and the expression of $f_0(t)$.

Since

$$\deg f_i(t)g_{i-1,j}(t)\leqslant(m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-i)+(i-j)=m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-j,$$

we get $\deg F_j(t)\leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-j$.

If we set

$$\widetilde{c}_{mi}=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}\delta_{m,0} & \text{if } i=0,\\ c_{mi} & \text{if } i\neq 0,\end{cases}$$

then the coefficient of t^{m+1-i} in $f_{mi}(t)$ is $\widetilde{c}_{mi}$ for any integers m,i with the condition $0\leqslant i\leqslant m+1$. Since

$$F_1(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_n=i\\ 0\leqslant i_j\leqslant m_j+1}}f_{m_1 i_1}(t)\cdots f_{m_n i_n}(t)g_{i-1,1}(t),$$

and $d_{i-1,1}=(-1)^{i-1}$, we find the coefficient of $t^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-1}$ in $F_1(t)$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_n=i\\ 0\leqslant i_j\leqslant m_j+1}}(-1)^{i-1}\widetilde{c}_{m_1 i_1}\cdots\widetilde{c}_{m_n i_n}$$

$$=\widetilde{c}_{m_1 0}\cdots\widetilde{c}_{m_n 0}-\prod_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i_j=0}^{m_j+1}(-1)^{i_j}\widetilde{c}_{m_j i_j},$$

which is

$$\widetilde{c}_{m_1 0}\cdots\widetilde{c}_{m_n 0}-\prod_{j=1}^{n}(\widetilde{c}_{m_j 0}-\delta_{m_j,0})$$

by (2.4). Then the coefficient of $t^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-1}$ in $F_1(t)$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (1-(-1)^n)\delta_{m_1,0}\cdots\delta_{m_n,0},$$

which is zero if n is even or at least one m_i is not zero. □

Now for a positive integer j with $j \leqslant m_1+\cdots+m_n+n$, let $a_{jl} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the coefficient of t^{2l} in the polynomial $F_j(t)$. Then we have

$$F_j(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-j}{2}\right]} a_{jl}t^{2l}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here as usual, for a real number x, we denote by $[x]$ the greatest integer that not exceeding x. If n is even or $m_1,\ldots,m_n$ are not all zero, we have

$$F_1(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2}{2}\right]} a_{1l}t^{2l}.$$

Hence we have

$$D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n}\ \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-j}{2}\right]} a_{jl}t^{2l}D^{j-1}g(t) + F_0(t).$$

Changing the order of the summation, we have

$$D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{T}\ \sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2l} a_{jl}t^{2l}D^{j-1}g(t) + F_0(t),$$

where

$$T = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right] & \text{if } m_1=\cdots=m_n=0,\\ \left[\frac{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2}{2}\right] & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Since

$$D^{j-1}g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(2i)^{j-1}\frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!}t^{2i},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t)\\ &=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2l} a_{jl}(2k-2l)^{j-1}\right)\frac{B_{2k-2l}}{(2k-2l)!}t^{2k} + F_0(t).\end{aligned}$$

Then the coefficient of t^{2k} in $D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t)$ is

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2l} 2^{j-1}a_{jl}(k-l)^{j-1}\right)\frac{B_{2k-2l}}{(2k-2l)!}, \tag{2.11}$$

provided that $k \geqslant n$.

On the other hand, since

$$D^m f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(2i)^m\frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!}t^{2i},$$

we find the coefficient of t^{2k} in $D^{m_1}f(t)\cdots D^{m_n}f(t)$ is

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} (2k_1)^{m_1}\cdots(2k_n)^{m_n}\frac{B_{2k_1}\cdots B_{2k_n}}{(2k_1)!\cdots(2k_n)!}. \tag{2.12}$$

Finally, comparing (2.11) with (2.12), we get a weighted sum formula of the Bernoulli numbers.

Theorem 2.9. *Let n,k be positive integers with $k\geqslant n$. Then for any nonnegative integers $m_1,\ldots,m_n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} k_1^{m_1}\cdots k_n^{m_n}\frac{B_{2k_1}\cdots B_{2k_n}}{(2k_1)!\cdots(2k_n)!}\\ &=\sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2l}\frac{a_{jl}}{2^{m_1+\cdots+m_n-j+1}}(k-l)^{j-1}\right)\frac{B_{2k-2l}}{(2k-2l)!},\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $T=\max\{[(m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2)/2],[(n-1)/2]\}$ and a_{jl} are determined by (2.10).

Note that in [10, Theorem 1], A. Petojević and H. M. Srivastava had considered the case of $m_1=\cdots=m_n=0$. See also [1, Theorems 1 and 2].

In the end of this section, we list some explicit examples of $n=4$. Note that some examples of $n=2$ and $n=3$ were given in [3].

Example 2.10. *Let k be a positive integer with $k\geqslant 4$. Set $\sum=\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum\frac{B_{2k_1}B_{2k_2}B_{2k_3}B_{2k_4}}{(2k_1)!(2k_2)!(2k_3)!(2k_4)!}&=-\frac{(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)}{3}\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}-\frac{2k}{3}\frac{B_{2k-2}}{(2k-2)!},\\ \sum k_1^2\frac{B_{2k_1}B_{2k_2}B_{2k_3}B_{2k_4}}{(2k_1)!(2k_2)!(2k_3)!(2k_4)!}&=-\frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)(4k+3)}{120}\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}\\ &\quad-\frac{k(4k^2-6k+3)}{24}\frac{B_{2k-2}}{(2k-2)!}-\frac{2k-5}{160}\frac{B_{2k-4}}{(2k-4)!},\\ \sum k_1^3\frac{B_{2k_1}B_{2k_2}B_{2k_3}B_{2k_4}}{(2k_1)!(2k_2)!(2k_3)!(2k_4)!}&=-\frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)(4k^2+6k+1)}{240}\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}\\ &\quad-\frac{k(12k^3-12k^2-11k+9)}{96}\frac{B_{2k-2}}{(2k-2)!}-\frac{(2k-5)(13k-9)}{960}\frac{B_{2k-4}}{(2k-4)!}.\end{aligned}$$

Set $B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}=\frac{B_{2k_1}B_{2k_2}B_{2k_3}B_{2k_4}}{(2k_1)!(2k_2)!(2k_3)!(2k_4)!}$. Using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\sum k_1B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}&=\frac{k}{4}\sum B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4},\\ \sum k_1k_2B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}&=\frac{k^2}{12}\sum B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}-\frac{1}{3}\sum k_1^2B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4},\\ \sum k_1^2k_2B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}&=\frac{k}{3}\sum k_1^2B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}-\frac{1}{3}\sum k_1^3B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4},\\ \sum k_1k_2k_3B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}&=\frac{k^3}{24}\sum B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}-\frac{k}{2}\sum k_1^2B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{3}\sum k_1^3 B_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4},$$

one can work out other weighted sum formulas of the Bernoulli numbers with the condition $m_1+m_2+m_3+m_4\leqslant 3$.

3. Weighted sum formulas of zeta values at even arguments

Euler's formula claims that for any positive integer k,

$$\zeta(2k)=(-1)^{k+1}\frac{B_{2k}}{2(2k)!}(2\pi)^{2k}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then from Theorem 2.9, we get the following weighted sum formula for zeta values at even arguments.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* n,k *be positive integers with* $k\geqslant n$. *Then for any nonnegative integers* $m_1,\dots,m_n$, *we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} k_1^{m_1}\cdots k_n^{m_n}\zeta(2k_1)\cdots\zeta(2k_n)=(-1)^n\sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}}\frac{(2l)!}{B_{2l}}$$
$$\times\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2l}\frac{a_{jl}}{2^{m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-j-1}}(k-l)^{j-1}\right)\zeta(2l)\zeta(2k-2l), \tag{3.2}$$

where $T=\max\{[(m_1+\cdots+m_n+n-2)/2],[(n-1)/2]\}$ *and* a_{jl} *are determined by* (2.10).

Finally, we prove the first equation of [3, Conjecture 4.7].

Theorem 3.2. *Let* n,k *be positive integers with* $k\geqslant n$. *Let* $F(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ *be a polynomial of degree* r. *Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\dots,k_n)\zeta(2k_1)\cdots\zeta(2k_n)=\sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}} e_{F,l}(k)\zeta(2l)\zeta(2k-2l),$$

where $T=\max\{[(r+n-2)/2],[(n-1)/2]\}$, $e_{F,l}(x)\in\mathbb{Q}[x]$ *depends only on* l *and* F, *and* $\deg e_{F,l}(x)\leqslant r+n-2l-1$.

Note that the polynomial $F(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ in Theorem 3.2 need not be symmetric, and the upper bound for the degree of the polynomial $e_{F,l}(x)$ is different from that in [3, Conjecture 4.7]. In [3, Conjecture 4.7], the upper bound for $\deg e_{F,l}(x)$ is $r-1$, which should be a typo. See the examples below.

Example 3.3. *Set* $\sum=\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}}$. *For a positive integer* k *with* $k\geqslant 4$, *we have*

$$\sum\zeta(2k_1)\zeta(2k_2)\zeta(2k_3)\zeta(2k_4)=\frac{(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)}{24}\zeta(2k)-2k\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2),$$
$$\sum k_1^2\zeta(2k_1)\zeta(2k_2)\zeta(2k_3)\zeta(2k_4)=\frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)(4k+3)}{960}\zeta(2k)$$
$$-\frac{k(4k^2-6k+3)}{8}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2)+\frac{9(2k-5)}{8}\zeta(4)\zeta(2k-4),$$

$$\sum k_1^3\zeta(2k_1)\zeta(2k_2)\zeta(2k_3)\zeta(2k_4) = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)(2k+3)(4k^2+6k+1)}{1920}\zeta(2k)$$
$$-\frac{k(12k^3-12k^2-11k+9)}{32}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2)+\frac{3(2k-5)(13k-9)}{16}\zeta(4)\zeta(2k-4),$$

which can deduce all other weighted sums (3.2) *under the conditions* $n=4$ *and* $m_1+m_2+m_3+m_4\leqslant 3$ *as explaining in Example 2.10.*

4. Weighted sum formulas of multiple zeta values with even arguments

To treat the weighted sum of the multiple zeta values with even arguments and its zeta-star analogue, we recall the symmetric sum formulas of M. E. Hoffman [4, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2]. For a partition $\Pi=\{P_1,P_2,\dots,P_i\}$ of the set $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$, let $l_j=\sharp P_j$ and

$$c(\Pi)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}(l_j-1)!,\quad \tilde{c}(\Pi)=(-1)^{n-i}c(\Pi).$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{P}_n$ the set of all partitions of the set $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. Then the symmetric sum formulas are

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\zeta(k_{\sigma(1)},\dots,k_{\sigma(n)})=\sum_{\Pi\in\mathcal{P}_n}\tilde{c}(\Pi)\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\zeta^\star(k_{\sigma(1)},\dots,k_{\sigma(n)})=\sum_{\Pi\in\mathcal{P}_n}c(\Pi)\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\dots,k_n)$ is a sequence of positive integers with all $k_i>1$, S_n is the symmetric group of degree n and for a partition $\Pi=\{P_1,\dots,P_i\}\in\mathcal{P}_n$,

$$\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\zeta\left(\sum_{l\in P_j}k_l\right).$$

Now let $\mathbf{k}=(2k_1,\dots,2k_n)$ with all k_i positive integers. Using (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\zeta(2k_{\sigma(1)},\dots,2k_{\sigma(n)})\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_i=n\\ l_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant l_i\geqslant 1}}\prod_{j=1}^{i}(l_j-1)!\sum_{\substack{\Pi=\{P_1,\dots,P_i\}\in\mathcal{P}_n\\ \sharp P_j=l_j}}\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi)\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\zeta^\star(2k_{\sigma(1)},\dots,2k_{\sigma(n)})\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_i=n\\ l_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant l_i\geqslant 1}}\prod_{j=1}^{i}(l_j-1)!\sum_{\substack{\Pi=\{P_1,\dots,P_i\}\in\mathcal{P}_n\\ \sharp P_j=l_j}}\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi).\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From now on, let k, n be fixed positive integers with $k \geqslant n$, and let $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a fixed symmetric polynomial with rational coefficients. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\zeta(2k_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,2k_{\sigma(n)})\\ =&n!\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\zeta^\star(2k_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,2k_{\sigma(n)})\\ =&n!\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta^\star(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for a partition $\Pi = \{P_1, \ldots, P_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $\sharp P_j = l_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi)\\ =&\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_i=k\\ t_j\geqslant 1}}\ \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_{l_1}=t_1\\ \vdots\\ k_{l_1+\cdots+l_{i-1}+1}+\cdots+k_n=t_i\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(2t_1)\cdots\zeta(2t_i).\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

To treat the inner sum about $F(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ in the right-hand side of (4.5), we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *For any positive integer k and nonnegative integers p_1, p_2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i^{p_1}(k-i)^{p_2}\\ =&\sum_{\substack{i,j\geqslant 0\\ p_1\leqslant i+j\leqslant p_1+p_2}}(-1)^{j+p_1}\binom{i+j}{i}\binom{p_2}{i+j-p_1}\frac{B_i}{j+1}(k-1)^{j+1}k^{p_1+p_2-i-j}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

In particular, the right-hand side of (4.6) is a polynomial of k with rational coefficients of degree $p_1 + p_2 + 1$.

Proof. Let $S_{p_1,p_2}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i^{p_1}(k-i)^{p_2}$ and let

$$G_k(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{p_1,p_2\geqslant 0} S_{p_1,p_2}(k)\frac{z_1^{p_1}z_2^{p_2}}{p_1!p_2!}$$

be the generating function. We have

$$G_k(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e^{iz_1+(k-i)z_2} = \frac{(1-e^{(k-1)(z_1-z_2)})e^{kz_2}}{e^{z_2-z_1}-1}.$$

Using the definition of the Bernoulli numbers, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(z_1,z_2) &= \sum_{i\geqslant 0, j\geqslant 1, l\geqslant 0} (-1)^i \frac{B_i}{i!j!l!}(k-1)^j k^l (z_1-z_2)^{i+j-1} z_2^l \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l\geqslant 0} (-1)^i \frac{B_i}{i!(j+1)!l!}(k-1)^{j+1} k^l (z_1-z_2)^{i+j} z_2^l. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain the expansion

$$G_k(z_1,z_2) = \sum_{\substack{i,j,l\geqslant 0 \\ 0\leqslant m\leqslant i+j}} (-1)^{j+m} \binom{i+j}{m} \frac{B_i}{i!(j+1)!l!}(k-1)^{j+1} k^l z_1^m z_2^{i+j+l-m}.$$

Comparing the coefficient of $\frac{z_1^{p_1} z_2^{p_2}}{p_1! p_2!}$, we get (4.6).

Then as a polynomial of k, the degree of the right-hand side of (4.6) is less than or equal to p_1+p_2+1, and the coefficient of $k^{p_1+p_2+1}$ is

$$\sum_{j=p_1}^{p_1+p_2} (-1)^{j+p_1} \binom{p_2}{j-p_1} \frac{1}{j+1},$$

which is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p_2} (-1)^j \binom{p_2}{j} \frac{1}{j+p_1+1}.$$

Since

$$x^{p_1}(1-x)^{p_2} = \sum_{j=0}^{p_2} (-1)^j \binom{p_2}{j} x^{j+p_1},$$

we find the coefficient of $k^{p_1+p_2+1}$ is

$$\int_0^1 x^{p_1}(1-x)^{p_2} dx = B(p_1+1, p_2+1) = \frac{p_1! p_2!}{(p_1+p_2+1)!},$$

which is nonzero. □

More generally, we have

Lemma 4.2. *Let k and n be integers with $k \geqslant n \geqslant 1$, and let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be non-negative integers. Then there exists a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree $p_1 + \cdots + p_n + n - 1$, such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k \\ k_j\geqslant 1}} k_1^{p_1} \cdots k_n^{p_n} = f(k).$$

Proof. We proceed on induction on n. If $n = 1$, we may take $f(x) = x^{p_1}$. For $n > 1$, since

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k \\ k_j\geqslant 1}} k_1^{p_1} \cdots k_n^{p_n} = \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ k_j\geqslant 1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_{n-1}=k_1 \\ l_j\geqslant 1}} l_1^{p_1} \cdots l_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} \right) k_2^{p_n},$$

using the induction assumption we have

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k \\ k_j\geqslant 1}} k_1^{p_1} \cdots k_n^{p_n} = \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ k_j\geqslant 1}} g(k_1) k_2^{p_n},$$

where $g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is of degree $p_1 + \cdots + p_{n-1} + n - 2$. Then the result follows from Lemma 4.1. □

Now we return to the computation of the right-hand side of (4.5). Using Lemma 4.2, there exists a polynomial $f_{t_1,\ldots,t_i}(x_1,\ldots,x_i) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_i]$ of degree $\deg F + n - i$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(\mathbf{k},\Pi)\\ &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_i=k\\ t_j\geqslant 1}} f_{t_1,\ldots,t_i}(t_1,\ldots,t_i)\zeta(2t_1)\cdots\zeta(2t_i).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_i=n\\ l_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant l_i\geqslant 1}}\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^{i}(l_j-1)!n(l_1,\ldots,l_i)\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_i=k\\ t_j\geqslant 1}} f_{t_1,\ldots,t_i}(t_1,\ldots,t_i)\zeta(2t_1)\cdots\zeta(2t_i)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta^\star(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_i=n\\ l_1\geqslant\cdots\geqslant l_i\geqslant 1}}\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^{i}(l_j-1)!n(l_1,\ldots,l_i)\sum_{\substack{t_1+\cdots+t_i=k\\ t_j\geqslant 1}} f_{t_1,\ldots,t_i}(t_1,\ldots,t_i)\zeta(2t_1)\cdots\zeta(2t_i),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$n(l_1,\ldots,l_i) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{j=1}^{i} l_j!\prod_{j=1}^{n}\sharp\{m \mid 1\leqslant m\leqslant i, k_m = j\}!}$$

is the number of partitions $\Pi = \{P_1,\ldots,P_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with the conditions $\sharp P_j = l_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, i$.

Applying Theorem 3.2, we then prove the second equation of [3, Conjecture 4.7] and its zeta-star analogue.

Theorem 4.3. *Let n, k be positive integers with $k \geqslant n$. Let $F(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ be a symmetric polynomial of degree r. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}} c_{F,l}(k)\zeta(2l)\zeta(2k-2l)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k\\ k_j\geqslant 1}} F(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\zeta^\star(2k_1,\ldots,2k_n) = \sum_{l=0}^{\min\{T,k\}} c^\star_{F,l}(k)\zeta(2l)\zeta(2k-2l),$$

where $T = \max\{[(r+n-2)/2], [(n-1)/2]\}$, $c_{F,l}(x), c^\star_{F,l}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ depend only on l and F, and $\deg c_{F,l}(x), \deg c^\star_{F,l}(x) \leqslant r + n - 2l - 1$.

Note that in Theorem 4.3, the upper bound for the polynomial $c_{F,l}(x)$ is different from that in [3, Conjecture 4.7]. In [3, Conjecture 4.7], the upper bound for $\deg c_{F,l}(x)$ is $\deg_{x_1} F(x_1,\dots,x_n)$. It seems that one may obtain this upper bound but need more efforts.

Example 4.4. *After getting the weighted sum formulas (3.2) with $n=2$ and $n=3$, we can obtain the weighted sum formulas of the multiple zeta values (resp. the multiple zeta-star values) of depth four. Here are some examples. For multiple zeta values, we have*

$$\sum \zeta(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3,2k_4)=\frac{35}{64}\zeta(2k)-\frac{5}{16}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \left(k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2+k_4^2\right)\zeta(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3,2k_4)&=\frac{7k(10k-3)}{128}\zeta(2k)\\&-\frac{10k^2+9k-30}{32}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2)+\frac{3(2k-5)}{16}\zeta(4)\zeta(2k-4),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \left(k_1^3+k_2^3+k_3^3+k_4^3\right)\zeta(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3,2k_4)&=\frac{7k(40k^2-18k+3)}{512}\zeta(2k)\\&-\frac{40k^3+54k^2-174k+15}{128}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2)+\frac{3(2k-5)(3k+2)}{32}\zeta(4)\zeta(2k-4),\end{aligned}$$

and for multiple zeta-star values, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \zeta^\star(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3,2k_4)&=\frac{(4k-5)(8k^2-20k+3)}{192}\zeta(2k)\\&-\frac{4k-7}{16}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum \left(k_1^2+k_2^2+k_3^2+k_4^2\right)\zeta^\star(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3,2k_4)\\=&\frac{k(128k^4-600k^3+920k^2-600k+227)}{1920}\zeta(2k)\\&-\frac{(2k-3)(16k^2-63k+68)}{96}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2)-\frac{2k-5}{16}\zeta(4)\zeta(2k-4),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum \left(k_1^3+k_2^3+k_3^3+k_4^3\right)\zeta^\star(2k_1,2k_2,2k_3,2k_4)\\=&\frac{k(256k^5-1440k^4+2760k^3-2400k^2+1664k-435)}{7680}\zeta(2k)\\&-\frac{32k^4-184k^3+318k^2-136k-51}{128}\zeta(2)\zeta(2k-2)\\&+\frac{15(k-4)(2k-5)}{32}\zeta(4)\zeta(2k-4).\end{aligned}$$

Here k is a positive integer with $k\geqslant 4$ and $\sum=\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4=k\\k_j\geqslant 1}}$.

5. Regularized double shuffle relations and weighted sum formulas

In this section, we briefly explain that the weighted sum formulas in Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 4.3 can be deduced from the regularized double shuffle relations of the multiple zeta values (For the details of the regularized double shuffle relations, one can refer to [7, 11] or [8]).

We get Theorem 3.1 and hence Theorem 3.2 just from (2.13) and Euler's formula (3.1). While (2.13) is an equation about the Bernoulli numbers and Euler's formula can be deduced from the regularized double shuffle relations ([8]). Hence we get Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 from the regularized double shuffle relations.

We get Theorem 4.3 from Theorem 3.2 and the symmetric sum formulas. While the symmetric sum formulas are consequences of the harmonic shuffle products. In fact, let $Y = \{z_k \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be an alphabet with noncommutative letters and let Y^* be the set of all words generated by letters in Y, which contains the empty word 1_Y. Let $\mathfrak{h}^1 = \mathbb{Q}\langle Y\rangle$ be the noncommutative polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by Y. As in [5, 9], we define two bilinear commutative products $*$ and $\bar{*}$ on $\mathfrak{h}^1$ by the rules

$$\begin{aligned}
&1_Y * w = w * 1_Y = w,\\
&z_k w_1 * z_l w_2 = z_k(w_1 * z_l w_2) + z_l(z_k w_1 * w_2) + z_{k+l}(w_1 * w_2);\\
&1_Y \mathbin{\bar{*}} w = w \mathbin{\bar{*}} 1_Y = w,\\
&z_k w_1 \mathbin{\bar{*}} z_l w_2 = z_k(w_1 \mathbin{\bar{*}} z_l w_2) + z_l(z_k w_1 \mathbin{\bar{*}} w_2) - z_{k+l}(w_1 \mathbin{\bar{*}} w_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $w, w_1, w_2 \in Y^*$ and k, l are positive integers. Let

$$\mathfrak{h}^0 = \mathbb{Q}1_Y + \sum_{\substack{n, k_1, \ldots, k_n \geqslant 1\\ k_1 \geqslant 2}} \mathbb{Q} z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n}$$

be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}^1$, which is also a subalgebra with respect to either the product $*$ or the product $\bar{*}$. Let $Z : \mathfrak{h}^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $Z^\star : \mathfrak{h}^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear maps determined by $Z(1_Y) = Z^\star(1_Y) = 1$ and

$$Z(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_n), \quad Z^\star(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta^\star(k_1, \ldots, k_n),$$

where $n, k_1, \ldots, k_n \geqslant 1$ with $k_1 \geqslant 2$. It is known that both the maps $Z : (\mathfrak{h}^0, *) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $Z^\star : (\mathfrak{h}^0, \bar{*}) \to \mathbb{R}$ are algebra homomorphisms. Hence from the following lemma, we know that the symmetric sum formulas are consequences of the harmonic shuffle products. And therefore Theorem 4.3 is also deduced from the regularized double shuffle relations.

Lemma 5.1. *Let n be a positive integer and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ be a sequence of positive integers. We have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n)}} = \sum_{\Pi = \{P_1, \ldots, P_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_n} \tilde{c}(\Pi) z_{\mathbf{k}, P_1} * \cdots * z_{\mathbf{k}, P_i} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n)}} = \sum_{\Pi = \{P_1, \ldots, P_i\} \in \mathcal{P}_n} c(\Pi) z_{\mathbf{k}, P_1} \mathbin{\bar{*}} \cdots \mathbin{\bar{*}} z_{\mathbf{k}, P_i}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $z_{\mathbf{k}, P_j} = z_{\sum_{l \in P_j} k_l}$.

Proof. To be self contained, we give a proof here. We prove (5.1) and one can prove (5.2) similarly. We proceed on induction on n. The case of $n = 1$ is obvious. Now assume that (5.1) is proved for n. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ and $\mathbf{k}' = (k_1, \ldots, k_{n+1})$. Since

$$z_{k_{n+1}} * \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n)}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots z_{k_{\sigma(j-1)}} z_{k_{n+1}} z_{k_{\sigma(j)}} \cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n)}}$$

$$+\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots z_{k_{\sigma(j-1)}} z_{k_{\sigma(j)}+k_{n+1}} z_{k_{\sigma(j+1)}}\cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n)}}$$

$$=\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n+1}} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n+1)}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} z_{k^{(j)}_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots z_{k^{(j)}_{\sigma(n)}}$$

with

$$\mathbf{k}^{(j)}=(k_1,\ldots,k_{j-1},k_j+k_{n+1},k_{j+1},\ldots,k_n)=(k_1^{(j)},\ldots,k_n^{(j)}),$$

using the induction assumption on $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}^{(j)}$ with $j=1,\ldots,n$, we have

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n+1}} z_{k_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots z_{k_{\sigma(n+1)}}=\sum_{\Pi=\{P_1,\ldots,P_i\}\in\mathcal{P}_n}\tilde{c}(\Pi) z_{\mathbf{k},P_1}*\cdots*z_{\mathbf{k},P_i}*z_{k_{n+1}}$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\Pi=\{P_1,\ldots,P_i\}\in\mathcal{P}_n}\tilde{c}(\Pi) z_{\mathbf{k}^{(j)},P_1}*\cdots*z_{\mathbf{k}^{(j)},P_i}.$$

Because any $\Pi\in\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ must satisfy and can only satisfy one of the following two conditions:

(i) there exists one $P\in\Pi$, such that $P=\{k_{n+1}\}$;
(ii) for any $P\in\Pi$, $P\neq\{k_{n+1}\}$,

we see that the right-hand side of the above equation is just

$$\sum_{\Pi=\{P_1,\ldots,P_i\}\in\mathcal{P}_{n+1}}\tilde{c}(\Pi) z_{\mathbf{k}',P_1}*\cdots*z_{\mathbf{k}',P_i}.$$

Hence we get (5.1). □

References

[1] K. Dilcher, Sums of products of Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory* **60** (1996), 23-41.
[2] H. Gangl, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Double zeta values and modular forms, In Automorphic Forms and Zeta Functions, Proceedings of the Conference in memory of Tsuneo Arakawa. S. Böcherer, T. Ibukiyama, M. Kaneko, F. Sato (eds.), World Scientific, New Jersey, 71-106, 2006.
[3] L. Guo, P. Lei and J. Zhao, Families of weighted sum formulas for multiple zeta values, *Int. J. Number Theory* **11** (3) (2015), 997-1025.
[4] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (2) (1992), 275-290.
[5] M. E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra* **194** (2) (1997), 477-495.
[6] M. E. Hoffman, On multiple zeta values of even arguments, *Int. J. Number Theory*, online ready, DOI: 10.1142/S179304211750035X.
[7] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2) (2006), 307-338.
[8] Z. Li and C. Qin, Some relations deduced from regularized double shuffle relations of multiple zeta values, preprint, arXiv:1610.05480.
[9] S. Muneta, Algebraic setup of non-strict multiple zeta values, *Acta Arith.* **136** (1) (2009), 7-18.
[10] A. Petojević and H. M. Srivastava, Computation of Euler's type sums of the products of Bernoulli numbers, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), 796-801.
[11] G. Racinet, Doubles melanges des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l'unite, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **95** (2002), 185-231.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University, No. 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, China

E-mail address: zhonghua_li@tongji.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University, No. 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, China

E-mail address: 2014chen_qin@tongji.edu.cn